

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
ABSTRACT**

P.S. – Police Department - Representation of Sri T.Panasa Reddy, Addl.SP & OSD, Narsampet Sub-division, Warangal District (formerly SDPO, Srikakulam), for expunction of adverse remarks recorded in his ACR for the period from 1.4.2010 to 19.1.2011 – Allowed - Orders – Issued.

---

**HOME (SERVICES-I) DEPARTMENT**

**G.O.Rt.No. 354**

**Dated: 06-03-2012**

**Read the following:-**

1. From Sri T.Panasa Reddy, Addl.SP & OSD, Narsampet Sub-division, Warangal District, Representation dated 16.9.2011.
2. From the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad Letter C.No.113/SC.C2/2011, dated 29.11.2011.

**o-o-o**

**ORDER:**

Sri T.Panasa Reddy, Addl.SP & OSD, Narsampet Sub-division, Warangal District, has submitted a representation to the Government, requesting to expunge the adverse remarks recorded in his ACR for the period from 1.4.2010 to 19.1.2011, for the reasons mentioned therein.

2. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, in his letter 2<sup>nd</sup> read above, has reported that the following adverse remarks were made in the ACR of Sri T.Panasa Reddy, formerly SDPO, Srikakulam now Addl.SP & OSD, Narsampet Sub-division, Warangal District, for the period from 1.4.2010 to 19.1.2011 and the same were communicated by the D.G.P. (L&O), Hyderabad vide D.O.Lr.C.No.113/SC.C2/2011, dated 11.8.2011:

“The so called important work/achievements made by him are only routine in nature. Liquidation of UI cases is not true as he UI cases are increased to 353 as against 285 by the end of 2009. Same is the case with PT cases. The UI SC/ST cases are 15 but not 4. Due to the failure of the officer in finalizing the UI cases, detection of grave and non-grave property offences and finalizing personal investigation cases, they increased in all parameters abnormally. The percentage of detection of grave crimes is only 10% and percentage of recovery is only 14.40% for 2010. The Officer discharged his duties in an unsatisfactorily manner, despite advisory memos issued to curb gambling and anti-social activities; taking monthly illegal gratification; allowing to re-open the gambling clubs in spite of strict instructions to curb gambling pointing out his malingering attitude, incapable part in supervising the work of sub-ordinate and giving sense of connivance in allowing he illegal activities; initiating PRs against his subordinates in a biased, partial manner and on personal grudges, as he is in habit of demoralizing the subordinates by initiating PRs on flimsy reasons; supplying certain restricted documents and false information that there was no mention of search of SBI locker in case records of Cr.No.189/10 of Srikakulam-I Town PS to Sakshi Crime Reporter resulting in publication of malicious news item in Sakshi daily on 11.1.2011 tarnishing the image of the Police department; not calling on the SP and not paying proper respects to the superior officers; and colluding with the accused in Cr.No.71/2010 of Ponduru PS and did undue favour to the accused and injustice to the complainant who is a member of SC community; indulged in malpractices in several cases as I.O; which shows his insubordination, neglect of duty, indifferent attitude and corrupt practices. He has not patience, and doesn't have courtesy even to call on his superiors. He is full of arrogance, biased, vindictive and adamant. He is evil-minded and doesn't hesitate to tarnish the image of the entire department and furnish false information to media by conniving with the local reporters. He has no initiative and drive as even after repeated instructions he doesn't take interest and perform his duties. By vindictively penalizing his sub-ordinates, he tries to gain control on the administration and he uses his power of application in negative sense. His integrity is doubtful, as he allowed gambling clubs in Srikakulam by taking monthly illegal gratification. As and when he was warned, he used to leak information to the organizers of the clubs and closed the gaming clubs temporarily. He did shady deals in investigation of certain cases and accepted illegal gratification. He is a black sheep in the department. He is cynical in attitude and colluded with the accused in number of cases for pecuniary benefit. He is unfit for police department. He has shown indiscipline and also investigated one SC/ST atrocity case badly. He has to mend his ways. His overall performance was poor”.

**3.** After careful examination of the entire matter, Government hereby **expunge** the above said adverse remarks made in his ACR of Sri T.Panasa Reddy, formerly SDPO, Srikakulam now Addl.SP & OSD, Narasampet Sub-division, Warangal District, for the period from 1.4.2010 to 19.1.2011.

**4.** The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, is requested to take further follow up action in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

**AJOYENDRA PYAL**  
**PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT**

To  
The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad.  
The Individual concerned  
*through* Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad.  
SF/SC

// Forwarded :: by order //

**SECTION OFFICER**